

About algorithmic completeness of primitive recursive languages

P. Cegielski¹, J. Cervelle², S. Grigorieff², P. Valarcher¹

¹ University Paris 12, LACL

² University Paris 7, IRIF

[WORK IN PROGRESS]

We consider programming languages that
compute the set of primitive recursive
functions.

$0, S, \Pi, \circ, P$

$f(0, \vec{y}) = g(\vec{y})$

$f(S(x), \vec{y}) = h(x, \vec{y}, f(x, \vec{y}))$

PRC schema

PR

$0, var, var+1, var-1$

$x := \text{expression}$ | assignment

$I_1; \dots; I_n$ | sequence

LOOP var

P

END LOOP

| bounded loop

LOOP

PRV

$$\begin{cases} \text{if}_0(0, t, s) = t \\ \text{if}_0(S(x), t, s) = s \end{cases}$$
 conditional by name

$$\begin{cases} f(0, \vec{y}) = g(\vec{y}) \\ f(S(x), \vec{y}) = h(x, f(x, j(x, \vec{y})), \vec{y}) \end{cases}$$

recursion with variable parameters scheme

LOOP_{exit}

$$\begin{cases} \text{if } x=0 \\ \text{then } P_1 \\ \text{else } P_2 \end{cases}$$
 conditional

$$\begin{cases} \text{loop } x \text{ except if } y=0 \\ P \end{cases}$$

end loop breakable bounded loop

PR

LOOP

PRV

LOOP_{exit}

All the 4 languages compute the same set of functions but definitely not in the same manner

The time of computation distinguishes the way they compute

We speak about the algorithmic expressiveness of programming language

A language for PR : combinator PRC

- 0 is a PRC of arity 0
- Succ is a PRC of arity 1
- π_i^n is a PRC of arity n (with $1 \leq i \leq n$)
- $S_m^n(c; c_1, \dots, c_n)$ is a PRC of arity m with c (PRC, n), c_i (PRC, m)
- $\text{Rec}(b, s)$ is PRC of arity $n+1$ with b (PRC, n) s (PRC, $n+2$)

Examples:

- π_1^1 is the identity
- $S_0^2(0;)$ binary null function

$$\text{Rec}(\pi_1^1, S_1^3(\text{Succ}; \pi_2^3))$$

$$\equiv \text{add}(0, y) = g(y) \quad [g = \pi_1^1]$$

$$\text{add}(\text{Succ}(x), y) = \text{Succ}(\text{add}(x, y)) \\ = h(x, \text{add}(x, y), y)$$

$$[h = \text{Succ} \circ \pi_2^3]$$

Operational semantics : one step \rightarrow

$\text{Succ}^i(0)$ is irreducible [a value]

$$0[t_1, \dots, t_n] \rightarrow 0$$

$$\text{Succ}[v] \rightarrow \text{Succ}^{i+1}(0) \quad \text{with } v \text{ the value } \text{Succ}^i(0)$$

$$\pi_i^n[t_1, \dots, t_n] \rightarrow t_i$$

$$S_m^n(c; c_1, \dots, c_n)[v_1, \dots, v_m] \rightarrow$$

$$c[w_1, \dots, w_m] \quad \text{with } c_i[v_1, \dots, v_n] \rightarrow^* w_i$$

$s(c_1, \dots, c_n)$ transitive closure

$$\text{Rec}(b, s)[0, t_1, \dots, t_n] \rightarrow b[t_1, \dots, t_n]$$

$$A \text{ Rec}(b, s) [\text{Succ}(t_1), t_2, \dots, t_n] \rightarrow S_n^{n+1}(s; \text{Rec}(b, s), \Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_n) [t_1, \dots, t_n]$$

if $t_i \rightarrow t'_i$ then

$$t [t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_n] \rightarrow t [t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, t'_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_n]$$

$$\text{Rec}(\overbrace{\Pi_1^1}^b, \overbrace{S_1^3(\text{Succ}; \Pi_2^3)}^s) [\text{Succ}^2(0), \text{Succ}^3(0)]$$

$$\rightarrow S_2^3 \left(\overbrace{S_1^3(\text{Succ}; \Pi_2^3)}^s; \underbrace{\text{Rec}(\Pi_1^1, S_1^3(\text{Succ}; \Pi_2^3))}_{s}, \Pi_1, \Pi_2 \right) [\text{Succ}(0), \text{Succ}^3(0)]$$

$$\rightarrow^* S_1^3(\text{Succ}; \Pi_2^3) [w_1, w_2, w_3]$$

$$\text{with } w_1 \leftarrow \Pi_1 [\text{Succ}(0), \text{Succ}^3(0)]$$

$$\text{Succ}^4(0) = w_2 \leftarrow^* \text{Rec}(\Pi_1^1, S_1^3(\text{Succ}; \Pi_2^3)) [\text{Succ}(0), \text{Succ}^3(0)]$$

$$w_3 \leftarrow \Pi_2 [\text{Succ}(0), \text{Succ}^3(0)]$$

$$\rightarrow \text{Succ} [\Pi_2^3 [\text{Succ}(0), \text{Succ}^4(0), \text{Succ}^3(0)]]$$

$$\rightarrow \text{Succ} [\text{Succ}^4(0)]$$

$$\rightarrow \text{Succ}^5(0)$$

We denote by $\text{cost}(c [v_1, \dots, v_n])$ the minimum number of one step reductions that leads to a value

Main theorem: for a n -ary PRC c

- there exist $n+2$ constants k_n, a, r_1, \dots, r_n

such that for every x_1, \dots, x_n we have

$$x_1 \geq r_1, \dots, x_n \geq r_n \Rightarrow 1 \leq \text{cost}(c[x_1, \dots, x_n]) \leq k_c$$

- or there exist an index $i \leq n$ and $n+1$ const. a, r_1, \dots, r_n such that

$$x_1 \geq r_1, \dots, x_n \geq r_n \Rightarrow \text{cost}(c[x_1, \dots, x_n]) \geq x_i - a$$

Applications

[Colson] The function $x, y \mapsto \min(x, y)$ is PR but there is no PRC to evaluate it with a cost in $\min(x, y)$.

[log] The function $x \mapsto \lfloor \log(x) \rfloor$ is PR but there is no PRC to evaluate it with a cost in $\log(x)$.

$$O(\log(x))$$

FFT



	$O(\log(n))$	$O(\inf(n,m))$	$O(n)$	\dots	$O(n \cdot \log n)$	\dots	$O(n^2)$
PAC+div2	No	No	No	Yes	Yes		Yes
PRV+div2	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes
LOOP+div2	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
LOOP _{exit} +div2	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Question Let f be PR (complexity function)
 Let P a programming language for PR
 $\exists! \text{ prog} \in P$ s.t. $\text{cost}(\text{prog}) \in O(f)$

Thank You!